

A General Criterion of Quantum Integrability Accommodating Central Charges and "Anomalies"

P.P.Divakaran

*The Institute of Mathematical Sciences,
CIT Campus, Chennai 600 113, India*

Abstract

A simple quantum generalisation of the Liouville–Arnold criterion of classical integrability is proposed: a system is quantum-integrable if it has an abelian Lie group of Wigner symmetries of dimension equal to the number of degrees of freedom. The criterion goes significantly beyond the familiar case of involutive conserved operators to cover systems with anomalies, in which involutivity is modified by central charges. "Anomalous" quantum integrability is shown to have all the expected consequences including exact diagonalisability. The approach throws new light on the origin of Weyl group invariance.

1. *Classical and quantum integrability.* A constraint-free conservative mechanical system of a finite number n of degrees of freedom is said to be completely integrable classically (abbreviated to LA-integrable, for Liouville-Arnold)[1] if there are n functions f_i on its phase space P having the properties of (i) involutivity: f_i have vanishing Poisson brackets: $[f_i, f_j] = 0$; (ii) conservation: $[h, f_i] = 0$ where h is the Hamiltonian function; and (iii) completeness: the differentials df_i are linearly independent. The conserved quantities f_i are action variables and they form one half of a set of canonical coordinates f_i, q_i for P , $[f_i, q_j] = \delta_{ij}$. More precisely, q_i are local coordinates for a maximal submanifold C (of dimension n) of P on which all f_i take constant values. C is a generalised configuration space adapted to the set of action variables. It is not necessarily compact; despite this, we shall refer to q_i as an angle variable. It is an immediate consequence of LA-integrability that h is a function only of f_i and that the equation of motion for q_i takes the form $dq_i/dt = \phi_i(f)$ for some function ϕ_i and so can be trivially integrated.

Our purpose here is to propose an answer to the question: What is a criterion for quantum (q-)integrability that is as concise and general as the above classical criterion? Historically, the study of q-integrability began with certain soluble models[2,3] exhibiting the properties (i) to (iii), with obvious reinterpretations of f and h as selfadjoint operators on the space of states and of $[,]$ as the commutator. (We shall later be using $[,]$ for the Lie brackets also; the context will make the meaning clear). That these properties are a satisfactory general characterisation of q-integrability seems to have general acceptance, though their verification in models remains a case by case exercise. In addition to having diagonalisable Hamiltonians, such models exhibit certain finite(Weyl) group "symmetries"[3,4] whose provenance has remained a matter of some mystery.

What is proposed here is an inherently quantum formulation of q-integrability that relies on the classical theory only for motivation. It provides a framework covering the models mentioned above, namely those obtained by a direct transcription ("naive quantisation") of an integrable classical system to the quantum domain. But it also extends the scope of the notion, beyond such "normal" q-integrable systems, to a class of "anomalous" q-integrable systems for which involutivity is modified by the presence of central charges. (These terms are explained later). In particular, the latter class will be shown to possess the properties of diagonalisability and Weyl group invariance.

2. *The criterion.* The standard criterion of LA-integrability stated above says that the

system has an n -dimensional abelian Lie algebra of classical symmetries. We shall assume that this can be exponentiated to a Lie group G (connected, abelian, n -dimensional) of symmetries. Classically, this only requires that P is a manifold[5]; in the quantum context, symmetry under G is a tighter demand than under its Lie algebra. Our criterion for q -integrability is then simply:

A quantum system of n degrees of freedom is q -integrable if it has a connected, abelian, n -dimensional Lie group G as a group of Wigner symmetries.

By a Wigner symmetry is meant as usual a one-one onto map of states to themselves preserving transition probabilities. Significantly, G -invariance of the Hamiltonian is not part of this criterion. Indeed demanding it will prove to be unjustified in general—we will see below that it is the proper handling of this issue that extends the scope of q -integrability to systems "with anomalies".

The group G is the product of a vector group and a torus group: $G = \mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{T}^{n-k}$, for some k , $0 \leq k \leq n$. By Wigner's theorem, the state space $\mathcal{H}$ must carry (continuous) projective unitary representations (PURs in short) of this group. We summarise the facts concerning them, relevant to the present work, briefly[6,7].

PURs of the group $\mathbf{R}^n$ are in one-one correspondence with, and can be obtained *via* the exponential map from, the URs of a Lie algebra g_α with basis X_i and brackets

$$[X_i, X_j] = i\alpha_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

obtained by adjoining a set of real central charges $\alpha_{ij} = -\alpha_{ji}$ to the abelian Lie algebra of $\mathbf{R}^n$. These brackets define a central extension of $\mathbf{R}^n$, as a Lie algebra, by $\mathbf{R}$ and corresponding to each distinct set $\alpha = \{\alpha_{ij}\}$ we get a distinct central extension by $U(1)$, and a distinct equivalence class of PURs, of the group $\mathbf{R}^n$. The representation space $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is a superselection sector in the total state space $\mathcal{H}$ for a fixed α and the latter is therefore a collection of sectors with no inter-sector transitions allowed, rather than their direct sum. The trivial sector $\mathcal{H}_0$ is special; it carries a UR (or a trivial PUR) of $\mathbf{R}^n$.

In sharp contrast, a torus group has only trivial central extensions and trivial PURs[8] even though $\mathbf{T}^n$ and $\mathbf{R}^n$ have the same Lie algebra; the state space consists of just the trivial sector. Thus the possible central charges are determined by the compactness properties of G .

3.Normal q -integrability; the trivial sector. We consider first the case $G = \mathbf{T}^n$. Then

the total state space $\mathcal{H}$ itself is the trivial sector; it carries a UR U of $\mathbf{T}^n$ and hence of its Lie algebra ($\cong \mathbf{R}^n$) with basis X_i . We can choose the n independent selfadjoint operators $F_i = U(X_i)$ as action operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and they are trivially involutive. Next, we note that the conservation of F_i is equivalent to the $\mathbf{T}^n$ -invariance of the Hamiltonian operator H of the system, by virtue of the equation of motion

$$i\dot{F}_i = [H, F_i] = 0.$$

But the invariance of H follows from the fact that $\mathbf{T}^n$ acts unitarily on all states in $\mathcal{H}$ at all times; thus conservation is ensured by our criterion. Consequently, as in the classical case, H is a function of F_i alone. To show this, it is best to choose the concrete realisation of $\mathcal{H}$ as $L^2(C)$, the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions ψ (generalised wave functions) on the generalised configuration space C of angle variables q_i . The action

$$(F_i\psi)(q) = -i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial q_i}$$

makes $L^2(C)$ a UR space of $\mathbf{T}^n$ (imposing suitable boundary conditions if needed). We have also the "angle operators"

$$(Q_i\psi)(q) = q_i\psi(q),$$

so that on $L^2(C)$ there is an irreducible (by the Stone-von Neumann theorem) UR of the Heisenberg algebra defined by the canonical commutators $[F_i, Q_j] = -i\delta_{ij}$. Every vector of $\mathcal{H}$ can then be approximated by polynomials in the creation operators $F_i + iQ_i$ operating on the unique state annihilated by $F_i - iQ_i$ for all i . Hence every densely defined operator, in particular H , can be approximated by polynomials in ("is a function of") F_i, Q_i , implying $[H, F_i] = i\partial H/\partial Q_i = 0$ from the conservation of F_i (invariance of H).

Thus normal q-integrability, which is a special case of our general criterion, is a direct quantum transcription of LA-integrability in all respects. The models of [2,3] are examples of this class. Their LA-integrability guarantees their normal q-integrability and *vice versa*; stated differently, every LA-integrable system has a normal q-integrable quantisation whose classical limit it is. It is also possible, from our quantum point of view, to determine the circumstances under which such systems possess finite (Weyl) group symmetries; this will be done elsewhere.

4. Nontrivial sectors. The fundamental difference between the trivial and a nontrivial sector is best seen in the maximally nontrivial case, namely, when the central charges form

a nonsingular matrix A , $\det A = 0$. This means that $G = \mathbf{R}^n$ with no torus factor and that $n = 2l$ is even. $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ can still be taken as the space $L^2(C)$ of generalised wave functions (many-valued wave functions may have to be considered, but this is easily done) with the angle operators acting, as before, by multiplication by q_i . As for the action operators, it is quickly checked that

$$F_{\alpha i} = U_\alpha(X_i) = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{ij} q_j$$

is a UR of the Lie algebra g_α :

$$[F_{\alpha i}, F_{\alpha j}] = i \alpha_{ij},$$

while preserving the action–angle CCR. This UR is not irreducible. The operators

$$F'_{\alpha i} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{ij} q_j$$

satisfy

$$[F'_{\alpha i}, F_{\alpha j}] = 0, \quad [F'_{\alpha i}, F'_{\alpha j}] = -i \alpha_{ij},$$

showing that $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ carries a UR of the direct sum $g_\alpha \oplus g_{-\alpha}$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ has the tensor factorisation

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \mathcal{V}_\alpha \otimes \mathcal{V}_{-\alpha},$$

where $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$ is the unique (upto equivalence, by Stone–von Neumann) irreducible (by Schur) UR of the Heisenberg Lie algebra g_α .

This factorisation[9] is a key result. It suggests that the operators best adapted to the study of q-integrability in the presence of central charges are not the action and angle operators but rather the action operators for both signs of the central charges. Note also that $g_{-\alpha}$ is not part of the integrability Lie algebra; it just describes concisely the (infinite) multiplicity in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ of the unique irreducible UR of g_α .

5. Conservation, anomalies. The superselection structure requires the Hamiltonian, like all observables, to be block-diagonal with respect to the sectors—it is actually a family of operators H_α , one for each sector. Now the group unitarily represented in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is not G but rather its central extension G_α (of which G is *not* a subgroup except for $\alpha = 0$). Thus for each α , H_α must be invariant under the unitary action of G_α on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$. Infinitesimally, this demand generalises the trivial sector equation of motion (Sec.3) to

$$i \dot{F}_{\alpha i} = [H_\alpha, F_{\alpha i}] = 0,$$

valid in an arbitrary sector. Thus all $F_{\alpha i}$, $i = 1, \dots, 2l$, are necessarily conserved simply as a consequence of the criterion of q-integrability; conservation in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ and the g_α -invariance of H_α are, once again, the same. Contrarily, $F'_{\alpha i}$ are not conserved as they do not represent the symmetries of integrability.

We can now use the factorisation property of $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ to show that H_α is *not* a function of $F_{\alpha i}$, but only of $F'_{\alpha i}$: briefly, from the irreducibility of $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ under $g_\alpha \oplus g_{-\alpha}$, H_α is *a priori* a polynomial in $F_{\alpha i}$ and $F'_{\alpha i}$ but, since it commutes with $F_{\alpha i}$, it cannot depend on them. The argument, based on properties of the Heisenberg Lie algebra, is the same as used for the trivial sector Hamiltonian H , but the conclusion is diametrically different. (Of course, for $\alpha = 0$, F_i and F'_i coincide). We also note the implication that H_α cannot be chosen as one of the conserved charges $F_{\alpha i}$, as in normal q- (and LA-) integrable systems.

Given the generality of scope of our discussion, invariance is about the only guiding principle available in choosing a Hamiltonian—starting with a system of particles in a real configuration space with a specified Hamiltonian and transforming to action–angle operators to exhibit integrability is not part of our present aim. We can only assert that when the Hamiltonian is so transformed, it should not depend on the action operators if the system is integrable. In the rest of this paper we make a specific choice for H_α as a polynomial in $F'_{\alpha i}$, namely,

$$H_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{2l} (F'_{\alpha i})^2.$$

This is the Hamiltonian operator in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ resulting from the appropriate quantisation of the classical Hamiltonian function $h = \sum_i (f_i)^2$. The details below are for this particularly nice Hamiltonian, but similar results hold and can be worked out for other acceptable choices.

On the other hand, a "naive" quantisation (see Sec. 1) of the same system will lead to the choice $H = H_0 = \sum_i (F_i)^2$ for commuting F_i , which is correct only for the trivial sector. Using this H_0 to compute time evolution in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ results in an apparent lack of conservation of $F_{\alpha i}$:

$$[H_0, F_{\alpha i}] = -\alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} = i\alpha_{ij} F_j.$$

This is a typical instance of "anomalous conservation" arising from the use of a classically indicated Hamiltonian in a quantum context where it is inappropriate—the anomaly gets cancelled on adding the anomalous piece $H_\alpha - H_0$ to the "naive Hamiltonian" H_0 . Nontrivial sectors are anomalous in this sense. (For more on the link between PURs and anomalies,

see [10]).

The Heisenberg equations for the angle operators Q_i (and $F'_{\alpha i}$),

$$\dot{Q}_i = i[H_\alpha, Q_i] = 2F'_{\alpha i},$$

$$\ddot{Q}_i = 2\dot{F}'_{\alpha i} = 2i[H_\alpha, F'_{\alpha i}] = -4\alpha_{ij}F'_{\alpha j},$$

are easily integrated. Thus the term 'integrable' is justified despite the presence of central charges and anomalies.

6. Spectral properties, Weyl group invariance. The Hamiltonian H_α can be explicitly diagonalised as follows.

As an operator on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$, H_α is invariant under $F'_{\alpha i} \rightarrow M_{ij}F'_{\alpha j} =: I_{\alpha i}$ for M a real orthogonal matrix:

$$H_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{2l} (I_{\alpha i})^2, \quad [I_{\alpha i}, I_{\alpha j}] = i(MAM^T)_{ij}.$$

The matrix A of central charges is real antisymmetric and hence belongs to the Lie algebra of $SO(2l)$. If T is the maximal torus of $SO(2l)$, we can choose M such that $MAM^T =: C$ is in the Lie algebra of T (the maximal commuting or Cartan subalgebra)[11]; *i.e.*, C has the form

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ -B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with B a real $l \times l$ diagonal matrix, $B = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_l)$. Redefining $I_{\alpha l+i} =: J_{\alpha i}$, we have

$$H_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^l ((I_{\alpha k})^2 + (J_{\alpha k})^2), \quad [I_{\alpha k}, J_{\alpha m}] = i\delta_{km}\beta_k,$$

with other commutators zero. Without losing generality, we may therefore assume H_α to have the above canonical form which is that for an l -dimensional oscillator or l Landau 'electrons' with charges proportional to $\{\beta_k\}$. The energy spectrum consists of eigenvalues

$$E_\nu := E_{\{\nu_k\}} = \sum_{k=1}^l (\nu_k + 1/2) |\beta_k|^2$$

for arbitrary nonnegative integers ν_k . The degeneracy of E_ν in $\mathcal{V}_{-\alpha}$ is the number of solutions $\{\nu_k\}$ of this equation for fixed $\{\beta_k\}$ —for this reason, it is fair to term it arithmetic, an especially suitable name when all β_k are rational numbers.

The arithmetic degeneracy has an alternative description by means of an invariance property of H_α under the Weyl group of $SO(2l)$ [11]. $SO(2l)$ has a subgroup transforming $I_{\alpha k}, J_{\alpha k}$

linearly among themselves while preserving the canonical structure of their commutators, *i.e.*, taking the set $\{\beta_k\}$ to some $\{\beta'_k\}$. This group is the normaliser N of T in $SO(2l)$, consisting of M such that MCM^T is also in the Cartan subalgebra. Obviously, H_α is invariant under N . But N itself has a normal subgroup of matrices which take each C to itself, namely the centraliser $Z(\cong T)$ of T , and Z essentially (upto unitary equivalence) fixes each $I_{\alpha k}, J_{\alpha m}$. Therefore, H_α is invariant under the quotient group N/Z which by definition is the Weyl group W of $SO(2l)$, alternatively described as the group generated by reflections along l basic roots in $\mathbf{R}^l$ [11]. The Weyl group invariance reflects the fact that H_α is associated uniquely not to α , but to the adjoint orbit of $SO(2l)$ through the matrix A in its Lie algebra. The relevance of Weyl groups and root systems to integrability thus has a transparent explanation in the quantum context.

It follows that the arithmetic degeneracy of every energy level is the dimension of some representation of W . It depends critically on the relative values of β_k ; for instance, if β_k/β_m is irrational for all k and m , only the identity representation of W occurs since, in that case, for any eigenvalue E_ν there can be only one solution for $\{\nu_k\}$ in integers. In $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ itself, there is an additional common infinite ($= \dim \mathcal{V}_\alpha$) degeneracy since H_α is independent of $F_{\alpha i}$; this reflects the symmetry of the system under the integrability group G .

7. Conclusion. In summary, this paper has delineated a general framework for quantum integrability as the most natural quantum generalisation of the classical Liouville-Arnold theory. While incorporating normal q-integrable systems with involutive action operators, its scope extends to systems which, though involutivity is 'broken' by central charges, remain integrable, in particular exactly diagonalisable. The representation-theoretic approach to counting the arithmetic degeneracy appears to be novel and should prove useful in other similar physical problems of a Diophantine nature [12]. A fuller treatment, including concrete examples, will be taken up elsewhere.

Acknowledgments. Discussions and correspondence with Radha Balakrishnan, M.Barma, N.D.Hari Dass, G.Date, S.Ramanan and R.Sasaki are gratefully acknowledged.

References and footnotes

- [1] V.I.Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, 2nd ed. (Springer, New York, 1989).
- [2] F.Calogero, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 2191 (1969); **12**, 419 (1971); B.Sutherland, Phys. Rev. **A4**, 2019 (1971); **A5**, 1372 (1971).
- [3] M.A.Olshanetsky and A.M.Perelomov, Phys. Reports **94**, 313 (1983) has a systematic study of a variety of such (in our terminology, normal) q-integrable models.
- [4] A great deal of progress is being made currently in this aspect of the subject; see E.Corrigan and R.Sasaki, J. Phys.A **35**, 7017 (2002) and work cited there. The role of the Weyl group and root systems associated to them is explored in I.Loris and R.Sasaki, J. Phys.A **37**, 211 (2004) and work cited there.
- [5] Systems which violate this condition have been considered, see P.J.Richens and M.V.Berry, Physica **2D**, 495 (1981); G.Date, M.V.N.Murthy and R.Vathsan, "Classical and Quantum Mechanics of Anyons", cond-mat/0302019.
- [6] A detailed elementary account of the theory of PURs (as it applies to quantum mechanics) is given, for general groups, in P.P.Divakaran, Rev. Math. Phys. **6**, 167 (1994). For abelian groups, see P.P.Divakaran and A.K.Rajagopal, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **9**, 261 (1995) and G.Date and P.P.Divakaran, Ann. Phys. **309**, 421 (2004).
- [7] M.S.Raghunathan, Rev. Math. Phys. **6**, 207 (1994) is essential reading for the mathematics of central extensions of groups and related topics.
- [8] V.Bargmann, Ann. Math. **59**, 1 (1954).
- [9] For details of this factorisation for $l = 1$, see Divakaran and Rajagopal, ref.6; this is a special case of a very general property that holds for all Heisenberg groups, see D. Mumford with M. Nori and P. Norman, *Tata Lectures on Theta III* (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991).
- [10] P.P.Divakaran, Phys. Rev. Letters **79**, 2159 (1997) and ref.6.
- [11] Any text book on Lie algebras and Lie groups, *e.g.*, W.Fulton and J.Harris, *Representation Theory, A First Course* (Springer, New York, 1991).
- [12] A selected list is: C.Itzykson and J.M.Luck, J. Phys.A **19**, 211 (1986); V.Subrahmaniam and M.Barma, J. Phys.A **24**, 4303 (1991); M.N.Tran, M.V.N.Murthy and R.K.Bhaduri, Ann. Phys. **311**, 204 (2004).